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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Policy Amendments

The Dean and faculty of the Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law are authorized to determine admission and retention standards, requirements for graduation, and academic policies for students at the College. This Statement contains academic policies of the College presently in effect. However, Arizona State University and the College of Law reserve the right to change the policies, procedures, requirements and any other contents of this document at any time. Policy changes that occur during the academic year will be updated in the Statement of Student Policies available on the College of Law website at www.law.asu.edu. It is the responsibility of each student to know and abide by the College and University policies.

Questions about academic policies or requirements of the College (including who the Dean’s designee is) should be directed to Assistant Dean for Academic Affairs Tom Williams.

B. Learning Outcomes

Learning outcomes for the Juris Doctor degree include competency in the following:

1. Knowledge and understanding of substantive and procedural law;
2. Legal analysis and reasoning, legal research, problem-solving, and written and oral communication in the legal context;
3. Exercise of proper professional and ethical responsibilities to clients and the legal system; and
4. Other professional skills needed for competent and ethical participation as a member of the legal profession.

II. ELIGIBILITY FOR JURIS DOCTOR DEGREE

A. Graduation Requirements for Classes Entering Fall 2016 and After

Satisfactory completion of the course of study offered by the College of Law leads to the Juris Doctor degree. To be eligible for the JD degree, a student must satisfy all the following:

1. admission to the College as a candidate for the degree and satisfaction of any conditions imposed at the time of admission or prior to graduation during the course of law study;
2. successful completion of a minimum of 88 credits of which 72 must be graded with a cumulative grade point average of 2.0 or better;
3. no more than four credits of “D” (deficient) grade work after the first year can be applied toward the 88 credits;
4. completion of all required first-year courses, Professional Responsibility, and either Constitutional Law II or Criminal Procedure;
5. satisfaction of the Graduation Writing Requirement;
6. a maximum of 12 credits of externship work, a maximum of seven credits of independent study, and a maximum of 4 professional development credits, and a maximum of 6 credits over all for professional development plus credits for university courses taken outside the law school can be counted towards the 88 credits required for graduation;
7. all 88 credits required for graduation must be earned at the ASU College of Law unless prior approval by the Dean’s designee is granted;
8. completion of the degree requirements no earlier than 24 months and no later than 84 months after commencing study at the law school or a law school from which the school has accepted transfer credit. A transfer student must complete the work of at least three semesters in the ASU College of Law immediately preceding the granting of a degree;
9. satisfaction of the Flexible Writing Requirement;
10. satisfaction of the Experiential Learning Requirement;
11. a minimum of 64 credits must be obtained from courses that involve attendance at regularly scheduled class sessions. The following do not count toward these required 64 credits:

   a. All Externships
   b. Independent Studies
   c. Courses taken outside the law school under Section-University Courses Outside the Law School
   d. Credits received for working for a Journal under Section-Journal Credits
   e. Credits received for Moot Court under Section-External Moot Court Participation
   f. Credits received for being a Teaching Assistant
   g. Credits received from any clinic other than:

      i. Civil Justice Clinic
      ii. Immigration Law and Policy Clinic
      iii. Indian Legal Clinic
      iv. Innovation Advancement Legal Clinic
      v. Mediation Clinic
      vi. Post-Conviction Clinic

   h. Credit from courses without regularly scheduled meetings

12. A maximum of 29 transfer credits can be counted toward the 88 credits necessary for graduation.
B. Graduation Requirements for Classes Entering Fall 2015 through Spring 2016

Satisfactory completion of the course of study offered by the College of Law leads to the Juris Doctor degree. To be eligible for the JD degree, a student must satisfy all the following:

1. admission to the College as a candidate for the degree and satisfaction of any conditions imposed at the time of admission or prior to graduation during the course of law study;
2. successful completion of a minimum of 88 credits of which 72 must be graded with a cumulative GPA of 2.0 or better;
3. no more than four credits of “D” (deficient) grade work after the first year can be applied toward the 88 credits;
4. completion of all required first-year courses, Professional Responsibility, and either Constitutional Law II or Criminal Procedure;
5. satisfaction of the Graduation Writing Requirement;
6. a maximum of 12 credits of externship work, a maximum of seven credits of independent study, and a maximum of 4 professional development credits can be counted towards the 88 credits required for graduation;
7. all 88 credits required for graduation must be earned at the ASU College of Law unless prior approval by the Dean’s designee is granted;
8. completion of the degree requirements no earlier than 24 months and no later than 84 months after commencing study at the law school or a law school from which the school has accepted transfer credit. A transfer student must complete the work of at least three semesters in the ASU College of Law immediately preceding the granting of a degree;
9. satisfaction of the Flexible Writing Requirement;
10. satisfaction of the Professional Skills Requirement;
11. a minimum of 64 credits must be obtained from courses that involve attendance at regularly scheduled class sessions. The following do not count toward these required 64 credits:

a. All Externships
b. Independent Studies
c. Courses taken outside the law school under Section-University Courses Outside the Law School
d. Credits received for working for a Journal under Section-Journal Credits
e. Credits received for Moot Court under Section-External Moot Court Participation
f. Credits received for being a Teaching Assistant
g. Credits received from any clinic other than:

i. Civil Justice Clinic
ii. Immigration Law and Policy Clinic
iii. Indian Legal Clinic
iv. Innovation Advancement Legal Clinic
v. Mediation Clinic
vi. Post-Conviction Clinic

g. credit from courses without regularly scheduled meetings

8. a maximum of 38 transfer credits can be counted toward the 88 credits necessary for graduation.

C. Graduation Requirements for Classes Entering Fall 2011 through Spring 2015

Satisfactory completion of the course of study offered by the College of Law leads to the Juris Doctor degree. To be eligible for the JD degree, a student must satisfy all the following:

1. admission to the College as a candidate for the degree and satisfaction of any conditions imposed at the time of admission or prior to graduation during the course of law study;
2. successful completion of a minimum of 88 credits of which 72 must be graded with a cumulative GPA of 2.0 or better;
3. no more than four credits of “D” (deficient) grade work after the first year can be applied toward the 88 credits;
4. completion of all required first-year courses, Professional Responsibility, and either Constitutional Law II or Criminal Procedure;
5. satisfaction of the Graduation Writing Requirement;
6. a maximum of 12 credits of externship work and a maximum of seven credits of independent study can be counted towards the 88 credits required for graduation;
7. all 88 credits required for graduation must be earned at the ASU College of Law unless prior approval by the Dean’s designee is granted;
8. completion of the degree requirements no earlier than 24 months and no later than 84 months after commencing study at the law school or a law school from which the school has accepted transfer credit. A transfer student must complete the work of at least three semesters in the ASU College of Law immediately preceding the granting of a degree;
9. satisfaction of the Flexible Writing Requirement;
10. satisfaction of the Professional Skills Requirement;
11. a minimum of 63 credits must be obtained from courses that involve attendance at regularly scheduled class sessions. The following do not count toward these required 63 credits:

a. All Externships
b. Independent Studies
c. Courses taken outside the law school under Section-University Courses Outside the Law School
d. Credits received for working for a Journal under Section-Journal Credits

e. Credits received for Moot Court under Section-External Moot Court Participation

f. Credits received for being a Teaching Assistant

g. Credits received from any clinic other than:

i. Civil Justice Clinic

ii. Immigration Law and Policy Clinic

iii. Indian Legal Clinic

iv. Innovation Advancement Legal Clinic

v. Mediation Clinic

vi. Post-Conviction Clinic

a. Credit from courses without regularly scheduled meetings

12. A maximum of 38 transfer credits can be counted toward the 88 credits necessary for graduation.

III. GRADUATION REQUIREMENT DESCRIPTIONS

A. Graduation Writing Requirement

Each student must satisfactorily complete at least one substantial writing project to qualify for graduation (the “Graduation Writing Requirement”).

1. Procedure

The Graduation Writing Requirement must be undertaken as part of a second-or third-year offering for which academic credit is available. To determine if a class will fulfill the Graduation Writing Requirement consult, for the semester of enrollment, the course description associated with the class. Course descriptions are posted on the College of Law website. This paper may be produced through a designated writing seminar or may also be satisfied through an Independent Study or writing done for Law Journal or Jurimetrics, in which case the initial form shall specify the nature of the substantial faculty involvement and be subject to the approval of the Dean’s designee. Moot Court briefs cannot be used to satisfy the Graduation Writing Requirement. In all cases, prior to undertaking the writing project, the student shall complete an initial “Graduation Writing Requirement Form” identifying the topic, the faculty editor, and dates for submission of a research outline and the first and final drafts. At the conclusion of the project, a member of the faculty must certify that the writing is of reasonable quality and satisfies the requirements set forth below. This certification must be filed with the Registrar no later than the last day of the term in which the student will graduate. The initial approval
form titled “Graduation Writing Requirement Form” and the certification of completion form titled “Graduation Writing Requirement Certification Form” can be found on the College of Law website or in the Student Services office.

2. Dates.

A student who has not completed the major writing requirement prior to the last semester before graduation, is subject to the following deadlines. The first draft of the paper must be submitted no later than two weeks prior to the end of classes for the semester or such earlier date set by the supervising faculty member. The supervising faculty member may grant an extension of this deadline in appropriate cases. The work necessary for completion of the writing requirement must be finally submitted and certified as completed by the faculty member by the final scheduled day of the examination period. Exceptions to this final deadline (Graduation Writing Requirement) can be granted by the Dean’s designee.

3. Substantial Faculty Involvement.

Satisfaction of the Graduation Writing Requirement requires substantial faculty involvement in the student writing project. Substantial faculty involvement means that the supervising faculty member should be involved in the selection of the topic and the editing process. The latter contemplates that a faculty member will (1) make detailed comments on both the outline and first draft of the paper, (2) meet with the student to go over the first draft, and (3) review the subsequent draft.

4. Other Requirements.

a. Format. The final version of the paper must be at least 6,250 words, including footnotes, and there must be at least an initial and a final draft

b. Registration Priority. Registration for writing requirement seminars shall be administered so as to give priority to third-year students who have not had an opportunity to fulfill their writing requirement. In descending order of priority, registrants shall be classified as follows:

   i. third-year law students who previously had not registered for academic credit which would satisfy the writing requirement (including third-year visiting students with a required substantial writing requirement for the degree-granting school);
   ii. other third-year students;
   iii. second-year students; and
   iv. visiting students.
B. Flexible Writing Requirement

5. Each student must satisfactorily complete the Flexible Writing Requirement to qualify for graduation. The Flexible Writing Requirement may be satisfied in any upper-class law course, seminar, clinic, independent study, or other offering for which academic credit is awarded and is taught by Tenured, Tenure-Track, and Academic Professional faculty or Adjuncts. To determine if a seminar class will fulfill the Flexible Writing Requirement, consult, for the semester of enrollment, the course description associated with the seminar. Course descriptions are posted on the College of Law website.

6. Writings that may satisfy this section include any legal work products that singly or together constitute substantial written legal work product. Writings that satisfy the Flexible Writing Requirement may, but need not, include the substantial faculty involvement as defined for and required by the Graduation Writing Requirement. Such substantial legal work product includes without limitation:

   a. seminar papers;
   b. legal briefs or memoranda;
   c. drafting of other legal materials; and
   d. presentation papers or other multiple smaller assignments.

In all cases, such writings must require substantial individual substantial intellectual effort involving, either singly or in combination, research, legal analysis, synthesis of cases or other legal materials, or original legal drafting or similar writing. Writing projects that do not include substantial intellectual effort in researching, analyzing or synthesizing legal materials, or originality do not qualify. Very short papers, impressionistic or opinion papers, and drafting that involve limited modification of preexisting forms or other written language are the types of projects that do not qualify.

7. “Substantial Written Legal Work Product” means written work product that is evaluated as a part of the grade for the offering that otherwise qualifies under this rule and that singly or in aggregate totals at least 15 double spaced pages or 3,750 words (at the faculty member’s discretion) of legally-related original writing. If a faculty member makes an offering available for satisfaction of this requirement, any enrolled student shall be eligible to use the seminar or course paper to satisfy this requirement. No instructor, regardless of the method of selection, shall limit the number of eligible students.

C. Professional Skills Requirement
Each student must satisfactorily complete at least one course in which they receive substantial instruction in “professional skills generally regarded as necessary for effective and responsible participation in the legal profession.” Courses which fulfill the requirement shall be designated as fulfilling the professional skills requirement by including that information in the posted course description. To determine if a course will fulfill the Professional Skills Requirement consult, for the semester of enrollment, the course description associated with the course. Course descriptions are posted in the College of Law website.

D. Experiential Learning Requirement

Each student must satisfactorily complete one or more experiential course(s) totaling at least six credits. An experiential course must be a simulation course, a law clinic, or a field placement. To satisfy this requirement, a course must be primarily experiential in nature and must:

1. integrate doctrine, theory, skills, and legal ethics, and engage students in performance of one or more of the professional skills, including include skills such as interviewing, counseling, negotiation, fact development and analysis, trial practice, document drafting, conflict resolution, organization and management of legal work, collaboration, cultural competency, and self-evaluation;
2. develop the concepts underlying the professional skills being taught;
3. provide multiple opportunities for performance; and
4. provide opportunities for self-evaluation.

To determine if a course will fulfill the Experiential Learning Requirement consult, for the semester of enrollment, the course description with the course. Course descriptions are posted on the College of Law’s website.

IV. ELIGIBILITY FOR MASTER OF LAWS (L.L.M.) DEGREE

To be eligible for the LL.M. degree, a student must satisfy all the following:

A. admission to the College as a candidate for the degree and satisfaction of any conditions imposed at the time of admission or prior to graduation during the course of study;
B. successful completion of a minimum of 24 credits of which 12 must be graded with a cumulative GPA of 2.0 or better;
C. all credits required for graduation must be earned at the ASU College of Law unless prior approval by the Dean’s designee is granted
D. completion of the degree requirements no later than 60 months after commencing study at the law school
E. students who do not have a JD degree from an ABA approved law school must complete Professional Legal Writing and US Law & Legal Analysis
V. ELIGIBILITY FOR MASTER OF LEGAL STUDIES

To be eligible for the M.L.S. degree, a student must satisfy all the following:

A. admission to the College as a candidate for the degree and satisfaction of any conditions imposed at the time of admission or prior to graduation during the course of study;
B. successful completion of a minimum of 30 credits of which 12 must be graded with a cumulative GPA of 2.0 or better;
C. all credits required for graduation must be earned at the ASU College of Law unless prior approval by the Dean’s designee is granted
D. completion of the degree requirements no later than 60 months after commencing study at the law school.
E. completion of the following courses

1. US Law & Legal Analysis
2. Any one of the following foundational courses
   a. Torts
   b. Civil Procedure
   c. Contracts
   d. Property
   e. Criminal Law
   f. Constitutional Law I
   g. Constitutional Law II
   h. Criminal Procedure
   i. Criminal Procedure

VI. CREDIT HOURS AND ATTENDANCE

A. Calculation of Credit Hours

While students may be required to spend more time per credit as determined in the faculty member’s judgment to accomplish the educational goals of the course, a “credit” is an amount of work that reasonably approximates:

1. not less than one hour of classroom or direct faculty instruction and two hours of out-of-class student work per week for the Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law semester, or the equivalent amount of work over a different amount of time; or
2. at least an equivalent amount of work as required in subparagraph (1) for other academic activities as established by the institution, including simulation courses, law clinics, field placements, co-curricular experiences, and other
academic work leading to the award of credits.

The Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law faculty have determined the following specific expectations:

3. Exam courses – a minimum of 42.5 hours in total, consisting of time spent in class, preparing for class, and preparing for and taking an examination, approximates one credit. This standard will be noted in course descriptions and new course proposals and should be included in course syllabi.

4. Paper courses—a minimum of 42.5 hours in total, consisting of time spent in class, preparing for class and researching and writing the required paper, approximates one credit. This standard will be noted in course descriptions and new course syllabi.

5. Field Placements (Externships)- a minimum of 55 hours in total, consisting of time spent in class preparing for class, and journaling (subject to course maximums); and preforming externship work, approximates one credit. Students are required to log and submit hours to determine the number of credits awarded. This standard will be noted in the course description.

6. Law Clinics – a minimum of 45 hours in total, consisting of time spent in class, preparing for class, and performing clinical work, approximates one credit. Students are required to log and submit hours to determine the number of credits awarded. This standard will be noted in the course description.

7. Independent Study – faculty members shall independently evaluate the work of each student completing an independent study. Generally, a minimum of 6,250 words (including footnotes) with one redraft will be required for one credit; a paper of roughly 8,750 – 12,500 words (including footnotes) with one redraft will be required for two credits; and a paper of approximately 12,500 – 18,750 words (including footnotes), which has been redrafted one or more times after review by the faculty member, will be required for three credits. This standard will be noted in the course description and faculty will certify, on the Independent Study Credit Form, that the student’s work product reflects the appropriate amount of time for the granting of credit.

B. Attendance

Attendance at classes, seminars, and other offerings is an essential element in successful academic performance. An instructor in any law school course, seminar, or other offering may withdraw a student for excessive absence. Withdrawal for excessive absence in any course, seminar, or other offering may be recorded as a failing grade (E) or (64 prior to classes entering Fall 2009) or as a withdrawal (W), at the discretion of the instructor.

“Excessive absence” is defined as unexcused absence from more than 15% of class or other instructional time. This definition shall apply to all 500 level courses and 600 & 700 level courses with an enrollment of 20 or more students.
An instructor in a 600 or 700 level course or other offering with an enrollment of less than 20 may define “excessive absence” differently, with notice to students before the end of the first week of instruction.

VII. ACADEMIC STANDARDS: RETENTION, DISQUALIFICATION, PROBATION, ACADEMIC SUPPORT, AND READMISSION POLICIES FOR J.D. STUDENTS

A. Cumulative Grade Point Average Requirements/Retention/Disqualification

1. First Year.

   a. If a student’s cumulative GPA places the student in the bottom 5% of the 1L class, that student shall be placed on probation and must participate in the Spring Academic Support Program (“ASP”).
   b. If a 1L student receives grades of D or below in two 1st year courses, that student shall be placed on probation and must participate in ASP. (March 2008)
   c. If a student’s 1L cumulative GPA is below 2.33, that student shall be placed on probation and must participate in the ASP.
   d. If a student’s 1L cumulative GPA is above 1.7 but below 2.0, that student shall be disqualified from the College of Law, but the student may apply for readmission.
   e. If a student’s 1L cumulative GPA is above 1.7 but below 2.0, that student shall be disqualified from the College of Law, but the student may apply for readmission.
   f. If a student’s 1L cumulative GPA is below 1.7, that student shall be permanently disqualified from the College of Law. The student may not apply for readmission.

2. After the First Year.

   a. If an upper-class student’s cumulative GPA is below 2.33, that student shall be placed on probation and must participate in the ASP until the student obtains a cumulative GPA above 2.33.
   b. If an upper-class student’s cumulative GPA for a given semester is below 2.0, that student shall be placed on probation for the following semester (and summer if the cumulative GPA below 2.0 is in the spring semester) and must participate in the ASP.
   c. If an upper-class student’s cumulative GPA is below 2.0, that student shall be disqualified from the College of Law but the student may apply for readmission.

a. A student’s cumulative GPA must be 2.0 or higher to graduate

4. Good Standing.

   a. Students are considered in “good standing” unless and until they are disqualified, even if on probation.

B. Probation

1. First-Year Students on Probation:

   a. must participate in the ASP; and
   b. may only work or participate in extracurricular activities with the consent of the ASP Director.
   c. for students entering fall 2009 or later, the dean or the dean’s designee has the discretion to require a student on probation to retake any class in which the student received a grade below a C. (March 2008)

2. After the First Year, Students on Probation:

   a. must participate in the ASP;
   b. may only work or participate in extracurricular activities with the consent of the ASP Director;
   c. shall take no course for Pass/Fail credit;
   d. shall take no more than 14 credits in a semester;
   e. shall not enroll or participate in externships or study abroad; and
   f. shall not enroll or participate in independent study (see section X, E); and
   g. shall not register without prior approval of the proposed course selection by the ASP Director (or a delegate).
   h. for students entering before fall 2009, the dean or the dean’s designee has the discretion to require a student on probation to retake any class in which the student received a grade below 70. (March 2008)
   i. for students entering fall 2009 or later, the dean or the dean’s designee has the discretion to require a student on probation to retake any class in which the student received a grade below a C. (March 2008)

3. Timing of Probation Discovery

   A student who signed up for courses while not on probation, but who finds himself on probation at the end of a given semester or after a summer, must obtain the approval of the ASP Director to take the following summer or semester courses for which the student previously registered. The student must comply with all other requirements for probation.

C. Academic Support Program
1. Basic Requirements
   The ASP Director will determine for each student what work is required.

2. First-Year ASP
   In the second semester of the first year, one component of the ASP will be a graded course that replaces one of the Spring 1L doctrinal courses. This course is exempt from the normal first-year curve. The ASP Director will determine for each student what additional work is required.

3. When ASP is Mandatory:
   a. Full participation is expected; and
   b. absent extraordinary circumstances, participation in less than 75% of a semester’s ASP meetings shall result in dismissal without refund for either the semester involved, or the semester when discovered.

4. Other ASP Participants.
   In addition to the students who are required to participate in ASP, the ASP Director may invite other students to participate in ASP at his/her discretion.

D. Readmission

1. Basic Provisions
   The Committee on Readmission, in its discretion, may readmit students on such terms and conditions as the Committee may impose. Every offer of readmission to a student previously disqualified shall be made on the express condition of mandatory participation in the ASP.

2. Readmissions Standards for Students Disqualified Based on First-Year Performance, for students entering before fall 2009:
   a. If a student’s 1L cumulative GPA is below 70, the student is permanently disqualified from continuing enrollment at the College of Law. The student may not apply for readmission.
   b. A student disqualified on the basis of a first-year cumulative GPA between 70 and 73 may apply for readmission. The Committee shall deny the application unless it believes, on the basis of the student’s prior performance and any other relevant evidence, that the student has the basic qualifications to complete law school. Evidence of such basic qualifications may be that the deficiency can be explained by convincing evidence of extenuating circumstances, or that, for students disqualified one or more years prior to the application, the nature of interim work, activity, or studies indicates a stronger potential for law study. The Committee may deny an application but permit the student to reapply at a later time after engaging in interim work, activity, or studies designed to improve the
student’s potential for law study.

If the student is readmitted, the student must repeat the entire first-year curriculum (including courses in which the student previously received grades of 73 or higher) as a new first-year student. A student readmitted to repeat the first year will not receive credit for any prior courses, and grades received in the prior year will not be included in computing the student’s cumulative GPA; however, the previous courses and grades will appear on the student’s transcript. In addition, the following conditions apply to the repeat year:

i. The student must have a cumulative GPA at the end of the year of 73 or higher. A student who fails to achieve a cumulative GPA of at least 73 is permanently disqualified from continuing enrollment at the College of Law and may not apply for readmission.

ii. The student must receive a grade of 70 or higher in all of the student’s graded first-year courses and must comply with such other conditions the Committee on Readmission establishes. A student whose cumulative GPA at the end of the year is 73 or higher but who fails to satisfy any condition of this paragraph is disqualified from continuing enrollment but may apply for readmission. The Committee on Readmission in its discretion may readmit the student and establish such conditions as it deems appropriate, including retaking any course in which the student received a grade below 70.

3. Remissions Standards for Students Disqualified Based on First-Year Performance, for students entering fall 2009 or after:

a. A student disqualified on the basis of a first-year cumulative GPA between 1.7 and 2.0 may apply for readmission. The Committee shall deny the application unless it believes, on the basis of the student’s prior performance and any other relevant evidence, that the student has the basic qualifications to complete law school. Evidence of such basic qualifications may be that the deficiency can be explained by convincing evidence of extenuating circumstances, or that, for students disqualified one or more years prior to the application, the nature of interim work, activity, or studies indicates a stronger potential for law study. The Committee may deny an application but permit the student to reapply at a later time after engaging in interim work, activity, or studies designed to improve the student’s potential for law study. If the student is readmitted, the student must repeat the entire first-year curriculum (including courses in which the student previously received grades of C or higher) as a new first-year student. A student readmitted to repeat the first year will not receive credit for any prior courses, and grades received in the prior year will not be included in computing the student’s GPA; however, the previous courses and grades will appear on
the student’s transcript. In addition, the following conditions apply to the repeat year:

i. The student must have a cumulative GPA at the end of the year of 2.0 or higher. A student who fails to achieve a cumulative GPA of at least 2.0 is permanently disqualified from continuing enrollment at the College of Law and may not apply for readmission.

ii. The student must comply with such conditions the Committee on Readmission establishes. A student whose cumulative GPA at the end of the year is 2.0 or higher but who fails to satisfy any condition of this paragraph is disqualified from continuing enrollment but may apply for readmission. The Committee on Readmission in its discretion may readmit the student and establish such conditions as it deems appropriate, including retaking any course in which the student received a grade below a C.

4. The student must comply with such conditions the Committee on Readmission establishes. A student whose cumulative GPA at the end of the year is 2.0 or higher but who fails to satisfy any condition of this paragraph is disqualified from continuing enrollment but may apply for readmission. The Committee on Readmission in its discretion may readmit the student and establish such conditions as it deems appropriate, including retaking any course in which the student received a grade below a C.

5. Readmission of Upper-class Students after a Second Disqualification for a Less- than-Satisfactory cumulative Grade Point Average for Students Entering the College of Law in fall 2009 and later:

Upper-class students who are disqualified because their cumulative GPA is below 2.0 may apply for readmission. Where the Committee believes that the deficiency is slight and evidence of extenuating circumstances is convincing, readmission may be granted on a probationary status, after a review of the reasons contributing to unsatisfactory performance and a finding that there is substantial prospect for acceptable academic performance. Continuation in school thereafter may be conditioned on such terms as the Committee deems appropriate, such as class attendance and its certification.

6. Readmission Applications:

If a disqualified student seeks readmission to a semester that begins four years or less after the end of the semester of disqualification, the Office of the Dean shall refer the application to the faculty Committee on Readmission. The readmission policies of the College of Law shall be implemented solely by the Committee on Readmission, and its decision
shall be final. If the applicant seeks readmission to a semester that begins more than four years after the end of the semester of disqualification, the Committee on Readmission may exercise discretion to require the applicant to reapply to the College through the normal admissions process.

VIII. ACADEMIC STANDARDS: RETENTION POLICIES FOR LL.M. & M.L.S Students

If at any point a student’s cumulative GPA falls below a 2.0 that student shall be withdrawn. Students may apply for a waiver from this rule, but no waiver shall be granted for a student whose cumulative GPA is below a 1.80.

IX. SCHEDULING OF CLASSES

A. Schedules

Faculty may not change class and examination schedules. All changes in these schedules must have the prior approval of the Dean’s designee or the Dean. Classes are generally scheduled throughout the day Monday through Friday.

B. Makeup Classes

From time to time, professors schedule makeup classes to replace class sessions which have been cancelled. Such classes may be offered during any period in the day except the 12:00 - 1:30 time period, and the regular attendance policy applies to these sessions.

C. Conflicts in Schedules

1. Under no circumstances will a student be permitted to enroll in courses which have conflicting meeting times.
2. Ordinarily, students may not register for both an externship and a clinic course during the same semester.
3. Ordinarily, students may not register for Criminal Practice Clinic and Trial Advocacy in the same semester.

D. Required J.D. Course Load

The program of legal education of the College of Law is planned for the full-time student. Courses in the first-year curriculum are required and administratively assigned. Generally, the required course load for the first year is 15 assigned credits in the Fall semester and 15 assigned credits in the Spring semester. Exceptions to assigned first year courses may be made by the Dean’s designee. If a first-year course is not successfully completed during the first year of study, the
course must be successfully completed during the second year. Ordinarily, and where possible, the course should be repeated with the same faculty member. Both the original and later grades will be entered on the student’s transcript and used in calculating the cumulative GPA.

E. **Overload**

Generally, registration is not permitted for more than 16 credits for a semester or 6 credits for a summer session. An overload by approval of the Dean’s designee may be permitted in unusual cases. Auditing a course does not constitute an overload.

F. **Auditing Classes**

Under University regulations, auditors must register and pay regular fees. Audited courses carry no credit. Once registered for audit, a student is not permitted to change to credit after the close of the add period. Non-law students must secure the approval of the Dean’s designee before registering for the audit of a law course. In addition, the audit of the course requires the approval of the instructor.

X. **GRADING**

A. **Grading Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Withdraw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XE</td>
<td>Academic Dishonesty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. **Grading Courses and Pass/Fail Grading**

All courses are to be graded on letter grade scale shown above except for (a) offerings in which the primary instructor is not a resident, visiting, or adjunct faculty member; (b) seminars in which the faculty/instructor’s posted course
description states that pass-fail or non-numeric grading will be employed; and (c) independent research where faculty/instructor’s independent study approval form states that pass-fail or non-numeric grading will be employed. Offerings that are not numerically graded will be graded “pass-fail,” within the meaning of the last paragraph of this section.

In those courses that are graded pass-fail, a grade of the equivalent of C or above will be recorded as a pass. Any grade below C will be recorded as the grade which the student earned.

C. Grade Normalization for J.D. Students

1. In all 1L courses and upper level courses with enrollment of 20 or more the following distribution requirements will be followed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Subgroup % Distribution</th>
<th>Group % Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+(*</td>
<td>0-4%</td>
<td>Combined A’s must constitute 25% of the grades awarded (plus or minus 2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10-15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>10-15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>25-35%</td>
<td>Combined B’s must constitute 60% of the grades awarded (plus or minus 2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>20-30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>5-15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+ and Below</td>
<td>15% (plus or minus 4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D and E(**)</td>
<td>0-4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Note: A+ should be awarded only when exceptional work is clearly demonstrated.
(**) Note: D and E should be given only when deficient performance is clearly demonstrated.

2. In any 1L class of fewer than 20 students, faculty must use the following grading system: (1) no more than 25% A’s (+/-2%) and no more than 1 A+; (2) no more than 60% B’s (+/-2%); (3) no more than 15% C+’s (+/- 4%) and below.(Effective March 2010)

3. In upper level classes of fewer than 20 students, faculty are to use the grade distribution system for upper level courses with 20 or more students as a guide, but are not held to that distribution. In these classes, however, faculty are to award no more than 50% A’s and no more than 1 A+.

4. The lowest grade in any course is E, which constitutes a failing grade.

D. Grades for M.L.S. Students

1. In any class, faculty must use the following grading system for MLS students: A+, A, A-, B+, B, B-, C+, C, D, ** and E.**

2. When assigning MLS grades, faculty should not require MLS students to achieve the same performance as J.D. students earning the same grade. MLS
students should be graded with the recognition that they have not completed
the first year of law school.

3. (*) Note: A+ should be awarded only when exceptional work is clearly
demonstrated.
4. (**) Note: D and E should be given only when deficient performance is
clearly demonstrated.

E. Instructor’s Assessment and Grading of Students on Bases Other than or in
Addition to Final Exams

1. Assessment on bases other than a final exam. Each instructor may assess
student performance on some bases other than, or in addition to, a final
examination. Such assessments may include written assignments, mid-term
or other examination, papers, projects, or other assessments of performance
by students in the role of lawyers. When an instructor chooses to assess
students on such bases, a description of the instructor’s grading criteria shall
be included in the course description or on the course syllabus. Examinations,
written assignments, or a combination of the two, should be the most
important basis of assessment in numerically graded non-clinical courses,
and an important basis of assessment in numerically graded clinical courses,
but the Curriculum Committee may approve a departure from this rule where
justified by an important pedagogical purpose.

2. Attendance, preparation, and class participation. Students are expected
to prepare for and attend all classes in their entirety, without tardiness. In
all courses, instructors may consider unexcused absence, tardiness, and
contributions to class discussion in assigning grades. In first-year courses,
an instructor may notify the Registrar to raise a student’s final grade one
step (for example, from a “B” to a “B+,” from a “C+” to a “B-,” etc.) for
outstanding classroom participation, or lower a student’s final grade one
step for unexcused absence, tardiness, inadequate preparation, or poor class
performance. The lowest grade that can be raised is a C. The lowest grade
that can be lowered is a C+. This policy statement shall constitute notice of
all such grade adjustments. Instructors in upper-level courses may give such
weight as they believe is appropriate to such matters as outstanding classroom
participation, unexcused absence, tardiness, inadequate preparation, or poor
class performance, subject to the provisions of Section VI E. 1. Instructors
in upper-level offerings shall provide notice of attendance and participation
grading policies to students before the end of the first week of instruction. In
the absence of such notice, the instructor, in assessing grades, may give no
weight to attendance or performance. As set forth in Section III, an instructor
may withdraw a student from a first-year class for excessive absence and may
withdraw a student from an upper-level class for excessive absence.

F. Incomplete Grades
A grade of incomplete may be given whenever a student does not complete a course because of failure to meet academic requirements according to the schedule announced by the faculty member. An instructor may assign a grade of incomplete in a first-year course with the approval of the Dean’s designee for rare and unusual cases with compelling reasons.

If an incomplete is not removed by the instructor within one calendar year from issuance, it becomes part of the student’s record. Students receiving incomplete grades will be notified in writing of the effect of a failure to remove an incomplete grade within the specified time period, with a copy to the professor. The initial notice will be followed by a reminder to the student prior to the expiration of the one-year period. No credit is recorded for a course until an incomplete has been removed, and an incomplete may not be changed to a withdrawal. For graduating students incomplete coursework must be submitted and certified as completed by the final scheduled day of the examination period in the semester in which they plan to graduate. Exceptions to this deadline can only be granted by the Dean’s designee.

G. Anonymous Grading

An instructor is not permitted access to student names on examinations at any time before grades are final and recorded. Supplementary credit for assignments other than final examinations or for classroom performance is permissible but must be determined and calculated in the final grade before student identification is known to the faculty member.

If mid-term scores, grades on problems or papers, classroom performance bonuses (or demerits), or other factors are to be a part courses of the final grade of any course, a list of these items and student recipients should be submitted to the Registrar. When raw scores on the final examination papers have been entered, the papers should be delivered to the Registrar. The Registrar will add the assessment factors, and enter a final grade for the course on the official grade list. Only after this process is completed, may the instructor see the student names.

An instructor may not alter the final grade except for clerical error. A written statement by the instructor explaining the reason for the change must be submitted to the Dean’s designee for approval prior to changes.

H. Submission of Grades

Faculty shall submit their final grades to the Registrar of the College no later than a date set by the Dean of the College for each examination period. At the discretion of the Dean, faculty members who do not submit the grades for their classes by the date shall be fined $200 a day for each day the grades are late,
payable to the Scholarship Fund of the College of Law. Faculty will comply with requests of the Administration for assessment of whether particular examinations warrant a C at a date earlier than the date set for the submission of final grades when the administration of the College determines Pass/Fail the existence of a legitimate need for such information.

I. Posting of Grades

Grades will be posted as appropriate by the Registrar; faculty members shall not post grades independently.

J. Publication of Exams and Model or Best Exam Answers

After grades are posted, instructors shall furnish the Library a copy of any examination or portion of an examination that the faculty member has designated as releasable, and the best student answer or a model answer for each. These will be kept on file in the Library and made available to students. Faculty members who furnish best student answers to final examination questions may do so either by photocopying the actual examination answer or having it retyped.

K. Disclosure of Grades

A transcript of a student’s grades is supplied to persons outside the College of Law only upon the authorization of the student. Absolutely no information regarding grades, class ranks and cumulative GPAs will be discussed on the telephone. Students must appear in person at the College Registrar’s office, or submit written requests to the College Registrar in order to obtain information regarding grades, class ranks, and transcripts.

L. Class Ranking

A student will be ranked at the end of each semester if the student’s grades are in the top one-third of the class and the student has completed all the required first semester coursework (after 1 semester), all the required first and second semester coursework (after 2 semesters), 42 credits (after 3 semesters), 54 credits (after 4 semesters), 66 credits (after 5 semesters), or 87 credits (after 6 semesters). Class rank information shall be released only upon authorization of the student concerned.

M. Grading Teaching Assistants

Teaching assistants will be graded Pass/Fail only.

N. Honors
At or after graduation, students may be awarded the designations cum laude, magna cum laude, and summa cum laude by the faculty. A student graduating among the top 5 students in the graduating class graduates summa cum laude. The remainder of the top 10% of the student body graduates magna cum laude. The next 15% of the class graduates cum laude. The cumulative GPA for these designations is based on only ASU resident course work. In addition, Order of the Coif (a national honor society) is awarded to those students who rank in the upper 10% after six semesters of course work with a minimum of 66 graded credits.

O. Ranking and Honors for Transfer Students

Students who transfer to the College of Law are not eligible to be ranked and are not eligible for Order of the Coif. Transfer students who earn a minimum of 40 graded credits at the ASU College of Law are eligible to earn academic honors (cum laude, magna cum laude, or summa cum laude) based on their final cumulative GPA at the ASU College of Law.

P. Pedrick Scholar Honors

A JD student who, in any single semester in which he or she has taken at least 10 graded credits, achieves a cumulative GPA of 3.5 or higher shall be deemed a Pedrick Scholar for that semester.

Q. Graduate Students

Graduate students from the University who enroll in law classes will be graded on the same basis as law students. However, a “credit” designation is limited to performance of C or above.

R. Grading and Honor Code Violations

Only the Dean, on the recommendation of an Honor Code Hearing Board or Sanctions Committee, can impose a sanction for violation of the honor code. When assigning a grade, however, an instructor may deduct points for an academic defect that the instructor recognizes may also amount to an honor code violation. When the instructor does so, he or she must give notice to the student and the Dean’s designee of the reason for the deduction of points from the grade.

S. Student Appeals from Grades

A student may appeal a grade to the Dean’s designee only on the following grounds:

1. The grade reflects an error in computation or recording.
2. The grade is based at least partly on an erroneous finding by the instructor that
the student engaged in plagiarism, fabrication, or other academic dishonesty in the academic exercise being graded. Students should refer to Section XIV, University Student Code of Conduct and Section XIII, ASU College of Law Honor Code for definitions of plagiarism and academic dishonesty.

3. Lack of good faith - students should refer to the Academic Grievance and Procedure found in the Student Opportunities, Rights and Responsibilities section.

XI. EXAMINATIONS PROCEDURES

A. Examination Numbers

Students will be issued a separate examination number for each semester. An examination should be identified only by the number given for that examination.

B. Commencement of Examination

An examination begins when the person administering the examination gives the direction to begin. No student shall read the examination questions or commence writing until the direction is given. Students using examination software may not proceed past the initial start-up screen (currently the “Stop” sign in ExamSoft) into the examination answers until the person administering the examination gives direction to begin.

C. Conduct During Examinations

1. All students shall observe the Honor Code during examinations. The Honor Code of the College of Law is reproduced in the Statement of Student Policies. It should be read by all students.
2. No outside materials may be used during the examination or brought to the examination room unless specifically authorized by prior notice from the instructor. (A list of materials permitted should also be included on the instruction sheet for the examination). The prohibition includes notes, library materials, and any printed or written matter other than the examination questions, the bluebooks, or other answer sheets.
3. There is to be absolutely no conversation about the examination between students until after all examination papers have been collected. Students are free to leave the examination room for short periods of time, but discussion of the examination must be deferred until the testing period has ended and students should ensure that they discuss the exam only with persons who have already taken the exam.
4. Examinations are to be written in assigned rooms only. Personal computers may not be used unless the following procedures have been followed:
   
   a. The student installs and properly uses the exam software required by the
College of Law

b. Students may not delay the starting or finishing times of an examination due to computer problems. If a computer malfunctions, the student shall proceed by handwriting the remainder of the examination and the College of Law will obtain any available backup files directly from the student’s computer. Any answers obtained electronically will be added to the handwritten answers. It is within each faculty member’s discretion to decide what penalty, if any, to apply if the combined answer exceeds word or page limits.

c. Any attempt to disable or tamper with the security features of the examination software is a violation of the Honor Code. Computers are subject to inspection before and after the examination.

5. Other than one computer used to write the examination answers (when permitted pursuant to Section C(4)(a)), no other electronic devices may be used or readily accessible unless specifically authorized by the instructor for the entire class. “Electronic devices” includes, but is not limited to, laptop computers, tablets, music devices, and cellular phones.

6. Faculty who wish to allow students open access to all computer functions, including the internet, must notify the Registrar 3 weeks prior to the date of the first examination in any given semester or summer session. Unless specifically permitted, students are still prohibited from communicating electronically in any form during the examination.

7. Accessing records or materials that are not permitted, at any time and through any means, is a violation of the College of Law’s Honor Code.

8. Notwithstanding Sections C(2), (4), and (5), faculty members may permit students to use any computer on take-home examinations.

D. Termination of the Examination

In all exams administered by a proctor, at the expiration of the scheduled time for the examination, an announcement will be made that the examination has ended, and all students must stop writing. Answer sheets from students who fail to comply will be marked “late” and the instructor may take the lateness into account in grading the examination. In addition, failing to stop writing when the announcement is given is a violation of the Honor Code.

E. Return of Examination Questions

All copies of all examination questions must be returned to the exam administrator at the end of the examination.

F. Students Late for Examination

Students who arrive late for an examination are expected to complete the
examination by the announced termination. No extension of time may be granted except by the instructor whose examination is being taken or by the Dean’s designee.

G. Faculty Presence at Examinations

Under normal circumstances, faculty members should not enter examination rooms during the course of the examination. However, special instructions may be given in the main room prior to the commencement of the examination.

H. Rescheduled Examinations

A student may reschedule an examination only for compelling reasons. A request to reschedule must, if possible, be communicated in advance of the examination to the Dean’s designee. The student with an approved request may take the exam on the next available date as determined by the Dean’s designee.

I. Unexcused Failure to Take Examination

Failure to take an examination without prior approval as instructed in the Section titled-Rescheduled Examination results in a failing grade.

J. Examination Deferrals

If a student has more than one examination scheduled on the same day, one examination scheduled in the afternoon and another the following morning, or three or more examinations on successive days, he or she may arrange a change in the schedule. To qualify, the student must complete a deferment form and submit it to the Registrar, for approval by the Dean’s designee, no later than the last regular day of the semester. Deferred examinations will be moved as minimally as possible to comply with these rules.

K. Questions

Any questions regarding examination procedures and administrative responsibilities shall be referred for decision to the Dean’s designee

L. Take-Home Examinations

Take-home examinations will be administered under the direction specified by the instructor, but they must be completed within the scheduled examination period. The instructor shall furnish the Dean’s designee a copy of the take-home examination instructions before the exam is commenced.

M. Examination Schedule
The final examination schedule cannot be changed without the approval of the Dean’s designee.

XII. WITHDRAWAL AND ADDING

A. 1L Withdrawal

1. A JD student may withdraw from a first-year course, including the 1L elective, only with the approval of the Dean’s designee for rare and unusual cases with compelling reasons. For instructional offerings after the first year, subject to the exceptions that follow, the general rule is that a student may withdraw until

   a. a) the final examination is administered,
   b. a take-home final examination is made available to students, or
   c. the last meeting of the class, if no examination is administered.

For instructional offerings where in the judgment of the instructor, withdrawal after the drop-add period would impact adversely on the instruction of other students, withdrawal may be conditioned on instructor approval. The instructional offering must be identified prior to the pre-registration date as one subject to this conditioned withdrawal rule. No student pre-registered for a clinical offering shall be allowed to drop the clinic later than two weeks preceding the first day of classes, or to add the clinic, unless instructor approval is first obtained.

B. Adding Course

No student may add a course after 15% of the class sessions have been held. In seminars, instructor approval is necessary for a student to add a class after the first seminar session. Intersession courses may be added after the first class session only with the permission of the instructor.

C. Withdrawal from the College

A student may withdraw from the College at any time before the beginning of the examination period of the semester for which he or she is registered. Before withdrawal, the student must arrange for an interview with the Dean’s designee.

D. Readmission to the College

A student withdrawing from the College leaves with no assurance of readmittance and must, if he or she wishes to attend at a later date, reapply for admission into the College. If a withdrawing student seeks readmission, he or she must apply for
readmission to the Dean’s designee at least 45 days prior to the semester for which the readmission is requested. The application shall be considered by a committee on readmissions. The decision of the committee shall be final.

XIII. NON-TRADITIONAL CURRICULUM

A. University Courses Outside the Law School

For ASU College of Law students, course work and independent study outside the College of Law may satisfy a special need to supplement skills in a chosen field. This credit does not constitute graded credit for purposes of the graded credit graduation requirement.

The College will recognize a maximum of six credits of non-law course work in partial fulfillment of the JD degree under the following conditions:

1. The non-law work must be related to advanced work in law; the student who petitions for such credit bears the burden of establishing a significant relationship.
2. Approval must be secured in advance by petition to the Dean’s designee. Normally, a grade of “B” or better must be earned for the credit to be recognized for the law degree.
3. Though special cases may justify credit for course work at the undergraduate level, graduate course work is normally required.

B. Externships

1. Goals and Objectives

The College of Law’s externship program is intended to enhance and supplement the College’s educational program by providing students an opportunity to refine their professional skills through approved field placements.

2. General Requirements

a. Students may only enroll in approved externships.

b. All externships shall provide an educational experience of high quality and shall include instruction in professional lawyering skills.

c. Each extern shall be under the general supervision of the Externship Director.

d. All externships shall involve legal or policy assignments and typically shall include substantial written work. Students shall be adequately supervised.
and shall work under the direct supervision of an attorney.
e. Externships are generally placements at governmental entities or non-profit organizations. In cases where a private firm has contracted with a municipality to be that entity’s prosecutor or indigent defense agency, a proposed externship may be approved if it

i. entails work solely in the prosecution or defense of criminal cases under the municipal contract and
ii. meets the other requirements of an approved externship.

f. All externships shall include an academic component. Students participating in an externship for the first time are required to complete the classroom component provided by the Externship Director. Periodic reflective journals are required of all externs.
g. A J.D. student may not earn more than 12 externship credits. M.L.S. and LL.M. students may not earn more than 6 externship credits.
h. A student participating in either the Washington D.C. Legal Externship Program or the Los Angeles Legal Externship Program may register for a maximum of 15 credits, including coursework, for the externship semester.
i. A student is not permitted to participate in both the Washington D.C. Legal Externship Program and the Los Angeles Legal Externship Program; students must choose between the two programs.
j. A student may not spend more than one semester in either Washington D.C. Legal Externship Program or the Los Angeles Legal Externship Program.
k. A student participating in both an out-of-state externship and the Washington D.C. Legal Externship Program or the Los Angeles Legal Externship Program may not earn more than 15 credits in any combination of externship credits and classes affiliated with the D.C. and L.A. Programs.
l. A student is expected to complete an externship in one semester unless the student has received an extension from the Externship Director for good cause. If a student earns an incomplete grade in a previous externship placement, the student may not participate in the Externship Program again until the student completes the previous externship and receives a passing grade.
m. Externships do not satisfy the Professional Skills Requirement.

3. Specific Requirements and Responsibilities

a. The Externship Director

The Externship Director shall design the academic component for the externship, which may include a classroom component. The Externship Director may, if appropriate, review the student’s fieldwork. The Externship Director shall consider the time devoted by the student to the field placement, the tasks assigned to
the student, the student work product, and the agency supervisor’s performance. For field placements that award three or more credits, there shall be regular contact between the Externship Director or law school administrator and the site supervisor to assure the quality of the student educational experience, including the appropriateness of the supervision and the student work. The Externship Director shall periodically provide reports on the externship program to the Associate Dean. The Externship Director shall inform the Associate Dean of any placement that does not meet the law school’s expectations. The Associate Dean shall terminate an unsatisfactory placement unless there is satisfactory assurance of correction by the agency concerned. The Externship Director shall provide regular reports to the Associate Dean, including reports of placements that do not meet the law school’s expectations. The Associate Dean shall terminate an unsatisfactory placement unless there is satisfactory assurance of correction by the agency concerned. The Externship Director shall provide regular reports to the Associate Dean, including reports of placements that do not meet the law school’s expectations. The Associate Dean shall terminate an unsatisfactory placement unless there is satisfactory assurance of correction by the agency concerned.

b. Students

A student shall have successfully completed 28 credits and be in good standing to participate in an externship program. A student on academic probation may be eligible to enroll in an externship in the summer semester only.

The student normally will receive one academic credit for every 55 hours spent on fieldwork.

The student shall successfully complete an academic component to the satisfaction of the Externship Director.

The College of Law Externship Program is designed to enhance the educational experience of students by giving them an opportunity to do legal or policy work that generally is not available through the College of Law curriculum. In keeping with these objectives, students are expected to treat the commitment to the agency with the same importance they would give a paid legal position.
Students must not accept an externship offer they do not intend to keep. A student may be sanctioned for breaking an externship commitment. These sanctions may include prohibition from future externships and clinics.

Ordinarily, students who wish to undertake an externship, clinic, or legislative internship may register for only one of them during the same semester.

LL.M. and M.L.S. students may participate in selected field placements.

c. Agencies

Agencies seeking to create externships shall submit a proposal that meets the requirements of these rules and explains how the agency will meet its responsibilities.

The assignments given to student externs shall consist primarily of legal or public policy work. Student assignments shall include the opportunity to observe and participate in actual legal or public policy matters. Insofar as legally and ethically permissible, agencies shall assign work to student externs consistent with that assigned to agency lawyers.

Agencies in which students are placed shall provide adequate supervision of student externs. The supervision shall include detailed review, evaluation, and critique of student extern work product and performance.

The agency shall designate a lawyer to be the agency supervisor, who will directly supervise the student extern, and shall submit the qualifications of the agency supervisor. The supervision may not be delegated to another individual. The College may disqualify any agency supervisor who fails to meet the applicable requirements from further participation in the externship program.

The agency shall submit a report at midterm and at the conclusion of the externship, describing the work performed by the extern and the quality of the extern’s work product.

C. Study Abroad Program

The primary goal and objective of the College of Law’s Study Abroad Program, whether through an official College of Law Exchange Program, an accredited program established by another ABA approved law school, or an international exchange program through ASU’s International Programs Office, is to enhance and supplement the College’s educational mission. J.D. Students may receive
credit for study completed through the Study Abroad Program ("Study Abroad Credits").

The College of Law will only recognize Study Abroad Credits if the following conditions have been met prior to departure:

1. The J.D. student has petitioned the Dean’s designee for approval to participate in the Study Abroad Program in accord with procedures and policies as announced by the College of Law, including application materials and dates;
2. The J.D. student has been approved by the Dean’s designee to participate in a Study Abroad Program;
3. The J.D. student has consulted with the Dean’s designee on a proposed schedule of course work;
4. The Dean’s designee has approved such course work; and
5. The J.D. student complies with all other rules and conditions as set forth in these Policies.

The College of Law will only recognize Study Abroad Credits where the student has obtained a grade of “C” or better (or its equivalent as defined by the granting institution) for a given course. Finally, the College of Law will recognize a maximum of fifteen Study Abroad Credits in partial fulfillment of the J.D. Degree. This maximum may be waived in special, if rare, circumstances. M.L.S. and LL.M. student may not participate in the study abroad program.

D. Distance Education Policy

13. The ASU College of Law will not grant more than 8 total credits toward the J.D. degree for Distance Education courses as defined by ABA Standards.
14. No student shall enroll in Distance Education courses qualifying for J.D. credit until that student has successfully completed 28 credits.
15. No credit otherwise may be given toward the J.D. degree for any Distance Education course.

XIV. SPECIAL J.D. CURRICULUM POLICIES

A. Certificate Program in Indian Law

The Indian Law Certificate Program is designed for students who plan to practice Indian law, particularly for those students who may be representing tribal communities directly after graduation. This upper-division law program can be completed within the final two years of the JD program. Applicants must be enrolled at the Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law at Arizona State University and in good standing. Transfer applicants may be admitted into the Program if they otherwise meet the standards of the College of Law.
1. Program requirements:

The Certificate requires a minimum of 21 hours of substantive work in Indian law and related fields. Application forms and requirements for the Indian Law Certificate Program can be found at: [http://www.law.asu.edu/Programs/Indian/Certificate/default.aspx](http://www.law.asu.edu/Programs/Indian/Certificate/default.aspx)

a. Substantive Courses: The only classes that will count towards the Indian Law Certificate are the classes listed below. All other classes must receive prior approval by the Executive Director of the Indian Legal Program.

i. (1) Mandatory Courses: Federal Indian Law I and Federal Indian Law II (6 credits).

ii. Advanced Indian Law: Participants must complete Advanced Legal Research and Writing – Indian Law Seminar, and at least one other course approved by the Executive Director as a course that primarily addresses core Indian law issues. Examples include Cultural Resources, Indian Gaming, Tribal Law and Government, Economic Development in Indian Country, International Indigenous Rights, Indian Taxation, American Indian Health Policy, or a directed independent study on an approved topic in Indian law.

iii. Electives in Law: Participants must complete four (4) courses in the College of Law curriculum that have been approved by the Executive Director as related to Indian law issues. Approved courses include Critical Race Theory, Civil Rights Legislation, Environmental Law, Natural Resources Law, Water Law, Timber and Range, Administrative Law, Employment Law, International Law, Federal Courts, Business Associations I and II, Conflict of Laws, Commercial Law, Creditor/Debtor, Constitutional Law II, Arizona Constitutional Law, Advanced Legal Writing, Intensive Legal Writing, and Corporate Taxation. Approved seminars include International Human Rights, Governmental Protections, and Current Topics in Arizona Water Law.

iv. Non-Law Electives: Students may apply up to three (3) credits of non-law graduate work (such as Anthropology, Political Science, or Justice Studies) toward the courses that satisfy the Electives in Law category if the Executive Director finds that such a course is appropriate to the student’s course of study and that no comparable class is offered at the law school. Pre-approval of the Executive Director is required for all non-law courses.

Students must receive a C+ (75 for student who started their JD before fall 2009) or above or a “pass” in each class that they list on the Indian Law Certificate.
Certificate application.
A copy of your transcript must be attached to your application

b. *Practice Experience in Indian Law:*

During law school, each student must successfully complete the Indian Legal Clinic. If a student is unable to enroll in the Clinic, they may obtain practice experience in Indian law through an approved ILP clerkship or externship with prior approval from the Executive Director.

c. *Senior Thesis Requirement:*

Each student must complete a substantial paper written on an Indian law topic in connection with a law school course, seminar, or directed independent study. The paper must be at least 25 pages in length, double-spaced, and must go through faculty review of at least one draft and rewrite. A paper that is used to satisfy the College of Law graduation writing requirement or a Law Review requirement may also fulfill this requirement of the Certificate Program. The writing requirement for the Indian Law Certificate must be supervised by a faculty member with expertise in the substantive area of law. A copy of your paper must be attached to your application.

**B. Law, Science & Technology Certificate Program**

The Center offers a Law, Science, and Technology Certificate Program for students with science and technology interests. The Certificate Program is limited to students enrolled at the College of Law. The Program involves substantive course work, a minimum average grade for that course work, a substantive paper, extracurricular activities, and regular advising. Its requirements are described below:

JD students can supplement and enhance their degree with the Certificate in Law, Science & Technology. The certificate program includes focused coursework, mentorship, and extracurricular educational opportunities for preparing 21st century lawyers.

Students can choose to earn a general LST certificate, or specialize in one or more of the following: Intellectual Property, Health Law, Environmental and, Sustainability Law, Genomics & Biotechnology, Law & Psychology (through class of 2018 only)

1. Certificate Requirements
To earn a Law, Science & Technology Certificate, students must fulfill ALL of the following requirements:

1. Substantive Coursework Requirement

   a. Substantive Coursework Requirement
   b. Minimum Average Grade Requirement
   c. Active Participation in the Center for Law, Science & Innovation
   d. Writing Requirement

2. Substantive Coursework Requirement

   Students must complete a minimum of seven (7) courses (earning at least sixteen (16) credit hours) that are related to law, science, and technology.

   a. Option 1: General LST Certificate minimum requirements:
      i. Two (2) Core Courses, and
      ii. Five (5) Elective Courses

   b. Option 2: LST Certificate with specialization(s) minimum requirements:
      i. One (1) Core Course,
      ii. Four (4) Qualifying Courses within their chosen specialization(s)
      iii. Two (2) Elective Courses

3. Minimum Average Grade Requirement

   Each student must earn a cumulative average grade of at least a “B” for the courses taken to satisfy the substantive course work requirement of the LST Certificate Program. A student also must earn a minimum grade of “B” for any course taken outside of ASU Law if permitted. A student may take one elective course on a Pass/Fail basis. Courses used to satisfy the core or specialization requirements may not be taken Pass/Fail.

   (Note: Any course in which the teacher opts to grade all enrolled students on a Pass/Fail basis is an exception to this limitation and may be used to satisfy a core or specialization or elective requirement as though it were a graded course.)

4. Active Participation in the Center for Law, Science & Innovation

   Each student must participate in at least one of the following approved activities for two semesters.

   a. Editorial position with Jurimetrics
   b. Participation in a Research Cluster
c. Membership on an external Moot Court team involving LST substantive areas (by approval from Center Director)
d. LST-based externships (by approval from Center Director)
e. Assistance and significant participation in an annual LSI Conference
f. Active participation in one or more of the following student organizations:
g. Law and Science Student Association (LASSA)
h. Intellectual Property Student Association (IPSA)
i. Environmental Law Society (ELS)
j. Health Law Society (HLS)
k. Space Law and Policy Society (SLAPS)

Students are also encouraged to actively participate as many Center-sponsored events as possible, including speakers, conferences, symposia, and other activities.

5. Writing Requirement

Each student must satisfy their graduation writing requirement on a topic related to Law, Science, and Technology. The paper must be at least 25 pages in length and written under the guidance of a Center Faculty Fellow on the College of Law faculty. A paper written to satisfy the College of Law’s substantial paper requirement can fulfill this writing requirement, including a paper written as part of a seminar course included on the list of Elective Courses above. The Certificate Program writing requirement shall be monitored in the same manner as the College of Law’s substantial paper writing requirement, with written evidence of completion provided by the Center Faculty Fellow who supervises the writing to the Assistant Registrar of the College of Law. A paper that satisfies a law journal writing requirement may also be used to satisfy this writing requirement.

6. Faculty Advisors

Each student who registers as a candidate for the LST Certificate will be assigned a Faculty Advisor from among the Center Faculty Fellows. The Faculty Director or Executive Director may revise this assignment at a later time at the request of the student or the advisor. Assignment changes will occur after consultations with the student, the original advisor, and the proposed successor advisor.

7. Unforeseen or Exigent Circumstances

The Faculty Director and Executive Director shall have joint authority to modify, waive, or reconfigure the program requirements in particular instances where necessary to promote equity and fairness in the event of unforeseen complications or exigent circumstances.
C. Clinical Programs

All students at the College of Law are invited to participate in the clinical program after the first semester of their second year of law school. A student may not enroll in the Criminal Practice Clinic in the same semester in which the student enrolls in either Trial Advocacy or Applied Evidence in Trial Advocacy. (Some Clinical courses require certification under Arizona Supreme Court Rule 38.) Ordinarily a student may enroll in only one clinic. However, this requirement may be waived. Applications for waiver shall be made to the Dean’s designee who shall act in accordance with the following guidelines.

1. If a student completes one 6-credit clinical course, he or she will be allowed to enroll in another 6-credit course if there is space available and the supervising attorney informs the Dean’s designee that the student’s work in the completed program has been performed at an acceptable level.

2. If a student completes a 6-credit clinical course and requests to continue in that program for an additional semester, the approval of the Dean’s designee will be given for one, two, or three additional credits if the student has completed the prior semester’s work successfully, there is space available in the program, and the supervising attorney agrees that the student’s continued participation in the program will be beneficial both to the student and the program.

3. Ordinarily, the maximum number of credits which students may earn in clinical courses is six (6). In no case will a student be allowed to enroll in courses which will result in his or her receiving more than 12 credits in the clinical area.

D. Student Practice Under Rule 38

Under the terms of Rule 38, third-year students may be certified for limited practice of law under the direction of a supervising attorney. Certification by the Dean is granted only in connection with a program of instruction administered primarily by and through the College of Law, i.e., the Law School Clinic Programs and selected externship programs.

E. Independent Study

A student wishing to pursue independent study or fieldwork for credit must obtain approval of the Dean’s designee and the consent of a faculty member to supervise a proposed project. The faculty member assigns the amount of credit that the proposal justifies. In awarding credit to a student for an independent study paper, a faculty member should require a quantum of work from the student which
approximates the amount of work expected from students enrolled in a regular course taken for the same number of credits. Faculty members should set minimum lengths for independent study papers based upon the complexity of the subject, the existing volume of literature on the topic, the number of redrafts required to complete the assignment, and the originality of the student’s work product. Although exact guidelines cannot be formulated in awarding credit, past practices indicate that generally a paper of approximately 12,500 – 18,750 words, including footnotes, which has been redrafted one or more times after review by the faculty member, will be required for three credits. Generally, a paper of roughly 8,750 – 12,500 words, including footnotes (with one redraft) should be required for two credits, and normally a minimum of 6,250 words, including footnotes (with one redraft), should be required for one credit.

Juris Doctor students may apply a total of seven credits of independent study credit under supervision of faculty members of the College of Law towards the credit hour requirements for graduation, subject to all other graduation requirements. Master of Legal Studies students may apply a total of three one-credit independent studies towards the credit hour requirements for graduation. Adjuncts and Faculty Associates may not supervise independent studies. Each independent study proposal must include: (1) a statement of the area of law to be examined in the independent study, and (2) an explanation of the differences between the topic covered in the independent study and any other independent study the student has undertaken at the College of Law. Faculty and students will exercise care to ensure that independent studies are undertaken under the supervision of College faculty with requisite expertise in the subject area. Students who are on academic probation may not register for independent study hours. The Dean’s designee shall maintain records for each student on the number of independent study hours for which the student registered, the number completed, the topics covered by the independent study, and the faculty who supervise the independent study credits. Faculty are advised to exercise care in supervising more than three credits of independent study for one student during the course of the student’s academic career. Faculty are further advised to pay particular care to the assignment of grades to independent studies to ensure the integrity of the numerical grading process at the College.

Approval of the Dean’s designee is required prior to registration for any independent study. Prior to approval, the Dean’s designee shall review the prior independent study credits for any student in a semester. The Dean’s designee shall not approve more than four independent study credits for any student in a semester. The Dean’s designee shall not approve, without consultation with the faculty member and the Dean, any independent study which will result in the students receiving more than four credits of independent study credit from any faculty member over the course of the student’s academic career.
F. **Journal Credits**

Students may obtain Independent Study credit for writing journal notes or comments, but only if they comply with the Independent Study policy (including having the support of a supervising faculty member - See Independent Study Policy). Consistent with the Independent Study policy, this credit can be graded or Pass/Fail at the discretion of the supervising faculty member.

From 2015 through spring 2018, third-year student journal editors may receive one Pass/Fail credit per semester. The Editors in Chief and the senior editors, as determined by the College of Law administration, will receive an additional Pass/Fail credit per semester through spring 2018. Beginning fall 2018, no credit shall be awarded for journal editorial work.

G. **External Moot Court Participation**

Students may participate in external Moot Court activities, for academic credit or not, with the prior approval of the Moot Court Committee. For those external competitions that have existing faculty support, the faculty member (or his/her designee) may organize an internal ASU competition to determine which students represent the College of Law at that competition’s regional or national round. In a competition that does not have prior faculty support, but has students who wish to represent ASU in the regional or national rounds, those students must first find a faculty member who is willing to act as the supervisor for that external competition. Ideally, the faculty member should have expertise in the subject matter of the competition.

Any student who wishes to represent the ASU College of Law in an external competition must receive approval from the Moot Court Committee prior to registering for the external competition. Any student who registers for or participates in an external moot court competition without receiving prior approval from the Moot Court Committee is subject to sanctions, including, but not limited to, the following: a failing grade for Moot Court, dismissal from the moot court team, a prohibition from participating in future moot court competitions, and, in cases of dishonest or deceitful conduct, an honor code complaint.

Students who are involved in external Moot Court competitions must indicate whether they wish to earn academic credit for competing. Students may earn one Moot Court credit for external Moot Court participation if approved by the Moot Court Committee. Moot Court credit can be granted if:

1. the student writes a minimum of 3,750 words of a brief (if multiple team members, each team member must be responsible for a minimum of 3,750 words);
2. the student participates in a minimum of five (5) practice oral arguments; and
3. the student rewrites the brief (or his/her portion of the brief) under the supervision of the faculty supervisor, following the competition.

XV. SPECIAL ADMISSIONS AND ENROLLMENT POLICIES

A. J.D. Transfer Students

A student in good standing at a law school accredited by the American Bar Association may be permitted to transfer into the College of Law with advanced standing upon completing not less than one year and not more than three semesters of law school. Among the factors that will be considered in evaluating such transfer applications are class standing and performance in law school, quality of the law school attended, the number of vacancies in the relevant class, residency, and any unusual personal circumstances. In general, a student who does not rank in the upper ten percent of his class in law school should not expect to be permitted to transfer, even if vacancies are available. No transfer credit is granted for courses with less than “C” grades or for work completed in an unaccredited law school. Ordinarily a student receives credit for courses in which he or she receives a “C” or better. However, the College reserves the right to deny or reduce credit for particular courses; transfer credit for field placements (externships) shall not be awarded. Grades received at another law school are not counted in determining a student’s cumulative grade-point average at ASU.

B. J.D. Visiting Students

ASU College of Law students wishing to visit at and receive credit from another ABA accredited law school must receive prior approval from the Dean’s designee. Interested students must submit a statement regarding the goal or purpose of the study they wish to pursue, a law school transcript, relevant law school information (including the grading scale) and course descriptions for their intended study to the Dean’s designee. Students granted visiting status at another law school must achieve a grade of (C) or the equivalent or better to receive credit toward graduation. Grades received at another law school are not counted in determining a student’s cumulative grade-point average at ASU.

C. Non-Law Students

Ordinarily, only law students and graduate students at Arizona State University shall be permitted to enroll in law courses. Graduate students shall be permitted to enroll in a law course only after one full semester in residence in their current
course of study and with express approval: (i) of their graduate advisor, (ii) of the instructor of the law course, and (iii) of the Dean’s designee. Before granting such approval, the Dean’s designee shall require a demonstration of relevance of the law course to the graduate student’s regular program, or shall require a showing of genuine intellectual interest by the graduate student in the subject matter of the law course. Such permission to enroll in law courses does not in any way constitute admission to the College.

XVI. STUDENT OPPORTUNITIES, RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Anti-Discrimination

The Arizona State University College of Law provides and will continue to provide equality of opportunity in legal education for all persons, including faculty and employees, with respect to hiring, continuation, promotion and tenure, applicants for admission, enrolled students, and graduates, without discrimination or segregation on the grounds of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, handicap or disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.

The College will not make its Office of Career and Employment Services available to firms and agencies who discriminate on the basis of race, religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, age, or physical disability, except for employment criteria adopted by a governmental agency pursuant to a lawful policy.

B. Access to Student Records

In compliance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1974, the College of Law provides access to educational records at the law school by students and former students.

Application files and transcripts of grades are maintained in the Dean’s office under the supervision of the Registrar. Students may review their own records during regular office hours and may secure copies of the records without charge by filing a signed request with the Registrar.

If a student believes that information contained in the records is inaccurate, misleading, or in violation of privacy, he or she may request a correction. The law school shall decide whether to accept the proposed amendment; if it refuses to do so, the school shall inform the student and advise him/her of the right to a hearing. If, as a result of a hearing, the law school decides to accept the amendment, it shall correct the record and inform the student In writing. However, if the school decides the information is not inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of privacy, it shall inform the student of his/her right to place a statement in the
record setting forth any reasons for disagreeing with the decision of the law school.

A FERPA hearing is not available to challenge a grade or to alter College policy and procedures.

A hearing shall be held within two weeks of receipt of a written request, with written notice furnished in advance of the hearing indicating date, place and time. The hearing shall be conducted by a law faculty member appointed by the Dean. The student shall be afforded a full opportunity to present relevant evidence and may be assisted by an individual of his or her choice. The law school shall make a decision in writing within two weeks after the hearing; the decision shall be based solely on evidence presented at the hearing and shall include a summary of the evidence and the reasons for the decision.

C. Disclosure of Information

The following information is designated as “Directory Information” and may be released without the written consent of a student by the law school under the provisions of section 99.37 of the Health, Education and Welfare Regulations relating to the Family Rights and Privacy Act in 1974:

Name, address, telephone number, date and place of birth, college, major field of study, participation in officially recognized activities, date of attendance, degrees and awards received and dates of receipts, the most recent previous education agency or institution attended by the student, employment record and name of person to contact in emergency.

A student may challenge the designation of any of these categories as “Directory Information” with respect to that student. Written notice of the challenge must be received by the law school no later than two weeks after the beginning of the first semester of enrollment.

Under the provisions of Section 99.31 of the H.E.W. Regulations, personally identifiable information concerning a student also may be disclosed without written consent to

1. other school officials within the educational institution who have legitimate educational interests;
2. officials of schools to which the student seeks to transfer;
3. the Comptroller General of the United States, the H.E.W. Secretary, the administrative head of an education agency, or State educational authorities;
4. in connection with a student’s application for, or receipt of, financial aid;
5. state and local officials or authorities to which such information is specifically required to be reported under State statute adopted prior to November 19,
6. organizations or educational agencies conducting legitimate research, provided no personally identifiable information about the student is made public;
7. accrediting organizations; and (h) parents of a dependent student upon proof of dependency. School officials who may receive this information under Section 99.31 (a) include law faculty and administrators, University administrators and Registrar’s office staff whose responsibilities with respect to teaching, advising and record-keeping create a legitimate educational interest in the information. In addition, information may be disclosed in an emergency if necessary to protect the health or safety of the student or other individuals.

Disclosure of personally identifiable information from the educational records of the law school to other persons or agencies shall only be made with the written consent of the student. The law school shall maintain a record, kept with the education record of each student, which will indicate all individuals, agencies, or organizations (other than those specified) as having access to the student’s record. The record of disclosures may be inspected by the student.

D. Academic Grievance Procedure

1. Upon complaint submitted by a student of the College of Law in writing, the Dean’s designee shall determine whether the complaint makes a prima facie case for existence of an “academic grievance.”
2. If the Dean’s designee determines that a prima facie case exists, he/she shall recommend that a hearing panel be appointed by the Dean. Upon receipt of that recommendation, the Dean shall appoint a three-person panel composed of two faculty members and one student who shall hear the matter in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Law School Honor Code.
3. The findings and recommendation of the hearing panel shall be submitted to the Dean. If the Dean concurs in the findings and recommendation, they shall be adopted. If the Dean does not concur in the recommendation of the hearing panel, he/she may reduce the scope of the recommendation. The decision of the Dean shall be final.
4. The assignment of a grade by a faculty member (or in the case of the clinics, an assignment or evaluation by a staff attorney) to a student is reviewable under this grievance procedure solely on the ground that the assignment was not made in good faith.
5. Any student complaint asserting the existence of an academic grievance pertaining to a grade must be received by the Dean’s designee within 30 days after the beginning class in the next semester.

E. Procedure for Student Complaints Concerning the Program of Legal Education
1. The College of Law is accredited by the American Bar Association. The ABA Standards for the Approval of Law Schools can be accessed on the American Bar Association’s webpage, located at this link: http://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/standards.html

2. Any student who alleges that a significant problem directly implicates the College of Law’s program of legal education and compliance with the ABA’s accreditation Standards should file a written complaint with the Dean’s designee. The written complaint must identify the problem in sufficient detail to permit the Dean’s designee to investigate the matter, including the specific Accreditation Standard(s) at issue, and must be signed by the student. The signed written statement must also include the student’s contact information, including name, home and email addresses, and phone number.

3. Within fifteen business days after a signed written statement is received by the Dean’s designee, the Dean’s designee shall assess the complaint and advise the student of any action the College of Law is taking to address the matter or of any further investigation into the matter.

4. Within ten business days of being advised of any action the College of Law is taking to address the matter, the student may appeal that decision in writing to the Dean of the College of Law. The decision of the Dean shall be final.

5. The College of Law shall maintain records of all complaints filed under this rule for a period of seven years, including the resolution of the complaints.

F. Waiver of Policies

The Dean or the Dean’s designee is authorized to approve in rare and unusual cases for compelling reasons, exception to the general policies of the College.

G. J.D. Employment Policy

1. Law school is a full time job, and the initial adjustment is especially demanding. Consequently, students are not permitted to work for pay in any capacity during their first semester of law school.

2. The law school discourages working for pay while enrolled, even when it is allowable. However, the following policies and procedures govern all students, some of whom may wish to work for pay.

   a. Each semester a JD student must fill out and electronically sign the employment certification form.

   b. Before commencing employment, each enrolled student must sign a form that reports both any employer for whom the student anticipates working and the number of hours per week the student anticipates working. Students are under a continuing obligation to update the form, should their employment circumstances change.

   c. Continuing students with a cumulative GPA below 2.33 (75 for classes entering prior to Fall 2009) are prohibited from working for pay during fall
or spring semesters until such time as they earn a cumulative cumulative GPA of 2.33 (75 for classes entering prior to Fall 2009) or higher.

d. Second semester first-year students who earned a cumulative GPA of 2.33 (75 for classes entering prior to Fall 2009) or higher in their first semester may work for pay no more than 10 hours per week during their second semester. However, all such work is subordinate to class obligations - including, for example, make-up classes. Outside work - paid or otherwise - is never an excuse for failing to meet class obligations.

e. Second and third-year students with a cumulative GPA of 2.33 (75 for classes entering prior to Fall 2009) or higher may work for pay no more than 20 hours per week during fall or spring semesters (Twenty hours per week is the maximum currently allowed by the American Bar Association to students enrolled in 12 or more credits). However, all such work is subordinate to class obligations - including, for example, make-up classes. Outside work - paid or otherwise - is never an excuse for failing to meet class obligations.

f. A violation of sections a, b, c, or d above will be treated as a violation of the Honor Code. The penalty for such violation may include, among other things, withdrawal for the semester in which the violation occurs or is found, without refund. (May 2004)

H. Email Policy

Electronic messaging services at ASU are provided to support education, research, scholarly communication, administration and other official ASU business. As is the case with other technology resources, electronic messaging services are shared among the entire University community. Everyone using electronic messaging services should be considerate of the needs of others, and be certain that nothing is done to impede anyone else’s ability to use this service. In addition, all electronic messages must contain the name and electronic mail address of the person making the information available, no anonymous information may be sent.

The following uses are guidelines for email use. For the official full policy see: http://www.asu.edu/aad/manuals/acd/acd125.html

Acceptable Uses:

1. Communication with local and foreign educators, students, researchers and colleagues in connection with instruction or research.
2. Communication and exchange for scholarly development, to maintain currency, or to debate issues in a field or sub-field of knowledge.
3. Use in applying for or administering grants or contracts for research or instruction, but not for other fund raising or public relations activities.
4. Announcements of new products or services for use in research or instruction, but not advertising of any kind.
5. Vendor communication relevant to official ASU business.
6. Communication incidental to otherwise acceptable use, except for illegal or specifically unacceptable use.

Unacceptable Uses:

1. Use for for-profit activities (sales, consulting for pay, and so on) or use by for-profit institutions unless covered by the General Principle, or as one of the Specifically Acceptable Uses.
2. Use for private or personal business in violation of University policies.
3. Chain letters, or any illegal schemes or activities.
4. Mailings to large numbers of people that contain unwanted solicitations or information. These mailings are often referred to as “spams.”
5. Communication that constitutes illegal harassment.
6. Anonymous mailings or mailings which impersonate another individual.
7. Allowing anyone else to use your account.
8. Any communication which adversely impacts the communications of the University by over-loading the network.

Enforcing of Policy:

Engaging in any activity that violates this policy can result in the loss of access privileges. Information Technology consults with Student Life, Legal Counsel, and/or management to define the procedures for handling, and consequences of policy violations.

I. Alcohol Policy

1. Events at Which Alcohol Is Available. Recognized student organizations that sponsor events at which alcohol is made available must ensure that they encourage responsible consumption of alcohol in the publicity, promotion, and execution of their events. Before making alcohol available at an event, the student leader(s) of the recognized student organizations are urged to consider that doing so will likely exclude a significant number of students who choose not to attend any events at which alcohol is made available. If alcohol is made available at an event sponsored by a recognized student organization:

   a. Consumption of alcoholic beverages shall not be the primary focus of the event.
   b. The promotion of the event shall not be done in a manner that emphasizes or promotes the consumption of alcohol.
   c. The sponsoring recognized student organization shall act responsibly in determining the amount of alcohol to be made available.
   d. Prior to the event, a member of the sponsoring recognized student
organization shall email the Dean’s Designee to provide notification that alcohol will be made available at the event.

e. The sponsoring recognized student organization shall take appropriate measures to prevent the abuse of alcohol at the event, including not serving or selling or permitting the service or sale of alcoholic beverages at the event to any person who is intoxicated or disorderly.

f. No alcohol shall be sold or served to anyone under the age of 21, and no one under the age of 21 may consume alcoholic beverages at the event. Any person authorized to serve alcoholic beverages who has reason to question whether the person ordering or attempting to order alcoholic beverages is under the age of 21 shall require that person to show an identification card that includes a photograph, proving that the individual is at least 21 years of age.

g. Food and non-alcoholic beverages shall be made available at the event.

If an event sponsored by a recognized student organization does not meet one or more of the preceding standards, any member of the law school community who is aware of the shortcoming is encouraged to report it to the Dean’s Designee. The student leader(s) of the recognized student organization will then be asked to meet with the Dean Designee, and together the parties will take the necessary steps to ensure these standards will be met at future events.

2. Consequences. If any recognized student organization violates the preceding standards, the Dean’s Designee may elect to dissolve the recognized student organization.

XVII. ASU COLLEGE OF LAW HONOR CODE

A. Preamble

The legal profession, a self-regulating association, depends on the integrity, honor, and personal morality of each member. Similarly, the integrity and value of an Arizona State College of Law degree depends on a reputation for fair competition. This Honor Code is intended as a measure to preserve the integrity of this school’s diploma and to create an arena in which students can compete fairly and confidently. However, the execution of the Honor Code depends on student participation. Students should actively discourage other students from committing an ethical or academic violation. Any student who has personal knowledge of a violation should report that violation to the Dean’s designee. Any student with questions about possible violations may in confidence contact the Dean’s designee, in person or in writing.

B. Scope of Coverage
This Honor Code covers dishonesty concerning the educational programs of, requirements for admissions to, and graduation from the College of Law, as well as statements or representations regarding qualifications or recognitions.

C. Honor Code Norms

Any act or omission occurring on or off campus which is dishonest or deceitful concerning the educational programs of, requirements for admission to, and graduation from the College of Law, as well as statements or representations regarding qualifications or recognitions, is a violation of the Honor Code. Unless otherwise specified, a negligent act or omission constitutes a violation of the Honor Code. Prohibited acts include but are not limited to:

1. Providing or accepting assistance that is not authorized by the instructor on examinations, papers, or other course work.

   Example: Student A takes an exam on Wednesday. Student B has the exam deferred until Thursday. A calls B on Wednesday night and they discuss the exam. Both A and B have violated this provision.

2. Violating rules governing the taking of examinations. Notes, outlines, or other references may not be consulted during an examination, unless prior authorization to do so is given by the instructor.

   Examples: An instructor allows outlines at an examination only if solely prepared by the individual. Student A copies another student’s outline and brings it into the exam. A has violated this provision.

   Student X distributes an old examination to Student Y. The exam is not available through official law school sources. Both X and Y have violated this provision.

3. Plagiarism. Plagiarism is representing the words or ideas of another as one’s own. Quoting or paraphrasing another’s writing without acknowledging the author’s identity is a form of plagiarism. Ignorance, as to the meaning of plagiarism, is not a defense.

   Example: Student A, writing a paper for a seminar, rephrases a passage from a law review article and fails to cite the source. A has violated this provision.

4. Submitting the same or substantially the same work for credit in more than one course.

   Examples: Student T submits a paper previously submitted in an
undergraduate course to fulfill the writing requirement for a law class. T has violated this provision.

Student P submits a paper that contains substantially the same research as used for a graduate course to fulfill the writing requirement for a law class. P has violated this provision.

Student H submits a paper for a seminar. Student H reuses portions of the seminar paper for an independent study. H has violated this provision.

5. Removing or attempting to remove law library materials or property without checking them out or without authorization.

6. Giving information that is known to be false or that is intended to deceive to the faculty, staff or administration of the University, including tampering with evidence, or inducing another to testify falsely or to withhold testimony.

Examples:
Student L changes the grade on an undergraduate transcript before submitting an application to the law school. L has violated this provision.

Student M requests a deferral for his contracts test, claiming serious illness in the family when there is no such illness. M has violated this provision.

Student U, who is accused of an Honor Code violation, convinces a friend, W, to claim W doesn’t remember anything when called upon to testify at U’s hearing. W and U have violated this provision.

Student N asks for a test deferral, claiming that N has three tests on the same day. N actually has two tests on the same day but incorrectly recorded the date of his third test and honestly believed that three tests were scheduled. Because of the lack of intent and knowledge, there is no violation.

7. Including false or misleading information or omitting relevant information from a résumé or cover letter.

Examples: Student D’s résumé misstates D’s cumulative GPA. D has violated this provision.

Student G received an A+ in a course but was not awarded the CALI Award in that course. Yet Student G’s cover letter states she has received a certain number of CALI Awards, which would include that course. Student G has violated this provision.

Student X’s résumé fails to disclose that he transferred from another law
school and lists only the Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law. Student X has violated this provision.

Student O was not ranked by the College of Law, but Student O approximated and listed on her résumé a class rank based on published percentiles. Student O has violated this provision.

8. Knowingly falsely accusing a student of committing acts that may be a violation of the Honor Code.

Examples: Student S submits a written accusation of an Honor Code violation alleging that Student P cheated on the torts exam. Student S has no knowledge of this occurrence, but believes it may be likely because Student P has been bragging about his score on the test. S has violated this provision.

Student J submits a written accusation of an Honor Code violation alleging that Student P cheated on the torts exam because J saw P take a piece of paper from her purse. P actually took an allergy pill from her purse because she was suffering from an attack. There is no violation because J did not know that what P took was a pill.

D. Procedures

1. Honor Code Inquiries

a. The faculty member in charge of an assignment has the primary responsibility for adequately informing students in writing of the rules under which the assignment should be completed. Any questions about ambiguity in the rules should be raised initially with the faculty member.

b. Any student who has a question about the interpretation of the Honor Code as it applies to a particular assignment should raise the question with the faculty member who is in charge of the assignment. If the faculty member is unable to assist the student in the application of the Honor Code, the student should seek the advice of the Dean’s designee.

c. Any student who has a question about the application of the Honor Code in general should seek the advice of the Dean’s designee.

2. Initial Reporting to the Dean’s designee

Any individual who believes that a violation of the Honor Code may have occurred should report the matter to the Dean’s designee. While the Dean’s designee may urge the individual to move forward with a written complaint, the Dean’s designee cannot require an individual to do so. The Dean’s designee may not proceed with an Honor Code complaint solely on the basis of conversations with others if no written complaint or admission is filed with
the Dean’s designee.

3. Formal Institution of the Honor Code Process

a. Any person who wishes to institute the Honor Code process must submit
a signed written statement alleging a violation of the Honor Code
in sufficient detail to permit the Dean’s designee to proceed with the
preliminary investigation and to provide the accused adequate notice of
the nature of the alleged violation. At the conclusion of the Honor Code
process, the complainant shall be notified of the disposition. If the signed
written statement is insufficiently detailed to permit the investigation to
proceed, the Dean’s designee shall contact the individual who submitted the
signed statement and ask for supplemental information in writing.

b. After a signed written statement alleging a violation of the Honor Code
is received by the Dean’s designee, the Dean’s designee shall engage in a
preliminary investigation to determine whether there is probable cause to
believe that a violation of the Honor Code has occurred. This investigation
may, but is not required to, include interviewing the complainant, the
person accused, and any other person who may have knowledge or
information that will assist the Dean’s designee in the probable cause
determination.

c. After a signed written statement alleging a violation of the Honor Code is
received by the Dean’s designee, the Dean’s designee will inform the Dean
of the nature and circumstances of the complaint, including the name of the
charged party.

d. If the Dean’s designee determines that no probable cause exists that a
violation of the Honor Code has occurred, the Dean’s designee shall advise
the accused of the Dean’s designee’s determination and the basis of the
determination. The Dean’s designee shall also advise the person who
submitted the written complaint of the Dean’s designee’s determination and
the basis of the determination. The Dean’s designee will send a copy of the
no probable cause determination, via last known e-mail addresses, to both
the accused and to the complainant. The Dean’s designee will also inform
the Dean, and any person whom the Dean’s designee contacted during the
probable cause investigation, of the no probable cause determination. If
the Dean’s designee determines that no probable cause exists, no reference
to the Honor Code complaint shall be placed in the student’s permanent
record unless requested by the accused student.

e. In unusual circumstances in order to avoid grossly unjust consequences,
notwithstanding that a finding of probable cause would be supported in the
case, the Dean’s Designee may determine, after consultation with the Dean,
to take no further action in the matter.

f. If the Dean’s designee determines that probable cause exists that a
violation of the Honor Code has occurred, the Dean’s designee will advise
the accused of the basis of the probable cause determination and, in person
or via email to the last known email address, provide the accused with a copy of the written report which summarizes the basis for the probable cause determination.

g. The Dean’s designee shall also advise the accused that he or she may choose to have some person present for any discussions between the Dean’s designee and the accused. If the accused chooses to exercise this option, any discussion between the Dean’s designee and the accused will be postponed for a reasonable time, but in any event no longer than seven days, until the accused has found such a person.

h. The Dean’s designee will advise the accused of the options available.

i. In appropriate cases where institutional interests and the accused’s position suggest that informal resolution of the complaint may be consistent with the policies of the Honor Code, the Dean’s designee may suggest informal resolution of the complaint.

ii. In cases where informal resolution is either inappropriate or is not acceptable to the accused, the accused will be informed that a hearing on the probable cause determination will be held before the Honor Code Hearing Board (hereinafter “Board”).

i. If the Dean’s designee suggests and the accused is interested in pursuing informal resolution, the Dean’s designee shall propose a resolution consistent with the purpose of the Honor Code, the nature of the violation, and the circumstances of the student. The accused may reject the proposed resolution without forgoing any of the rights provided under this code to a hearing. If the accused rejects the proposed resolution and a hearing on the alleged violation is held before the Board, the attempt at informal resolution shall not be disclosed to the Board.

j. If the Dean’s designee and the accused reach an informal resolution of the complaint, the Dean’s designee shall present the informal resolution of the complaint to the Dean in writing with a copy of the probable cause determination. If the Dean agrees to the informal resolution, the Dean’s designee will send, via email to the last known email address, the terms of the informal resolution to the accused. If the Dean does not agree to the informal resolution, the Dean’s designee shall inform the accused, via email to the last known email address, that a hearing on the alleged violation will be held before the Board. Neither the existence of a proposed informal resolution nor its rejection by the Dean shall be disclosed to the Board.

k. If informal resolution is not appropriate or is unsuccessful, the Dean’s designee will forward a copy of the written complaint and the probable cause determination to the Chair of the Board. The Dean’s designee will also inform the Dean that the Dean’s designee has referred the matter to the Board.

l. The Board shall be a Standing Committee of the College. The Board shall
consist of three faculty, appointed annually, and three students appointed as needed. The Dean shall appoint a faculty member to chair the Board with due consideration of the quasi-judicial function of the Board and the fact that the accused may be represented by counsel. The Dean also shall select one student alternate and one faculty alternate.

m. During the course of the Honor Code hearing, it is the responsibility of the Dean’s designee to present the case in support of the determination of probable cause. The Board is not limited to the evidence presented by the Dean’s designee, but may independently investigate the alleged violation. The Dean’s designee should be available to respond to any questions which the Board has about the nature of the investigation or the basis upon which the Dean’s designee determined the existence of probable cause.

4. Board Procedures

a. Pre-hearing Procedures

i. Once a matter is submitted to the Board, the Chair of the Board shall schedule a hearing within fourteen (14) days. The fourteen day period may be extended by agreement of the Dean’s designee and the accused or by the Chair for good cause.

ii. The Chair shall provide notice to the accused at least seven (7) days prior to the hearing date. The notice shall be sent via email to the last known email address to the accused and to the Dean’s designee and shall include the following:

(c) A statement of the date, time, and location of the hearing;
(d) A statement of the alleged violation of the Honor Code;
(e) Notice of a right to representation by an attorney or other adviser;
(f) A copy of the Honor Code;
(g) A list of the members of the Board.

b. No later than three (3) days before the hearing, the Dean’s designee and the accused shall exchange, via email to the last known email addresses, and submit a list to the Chair of witnesses who may be called to testify at the hearing.

c. The accused may challenge the participation of any member of the Board on the grounds of bias by submitting, via email to the last known email address, a written statement setting forth the grounds of the challenge to the Chair at least three (3) days before the hearing. The Chair shall rule on the challenge unless the challenge is to the Chair, in which case the Chair shall designate a Board member to rule on the challenge.

d. At the request of the Dean’s designee or the accused, the Chair may require the attendance of any witness if that witness is a student or employee of the College of Law or the University.
5. Hearing Procedures

a. The purpose of the hearing is to formulate a recommendation to the Dean as to (1) whether a violation of the Honor Code has occurred if the violation is not admitted by the accused, and (2) if a violation has occurred, the appropriate sanction.

b. The hearing shall be closed and recorded electronically or otherwise. In appropriate cases and when approved in advance by the Chair, the hearing may take place online via Skype or other means, understanding the limits of technology and that live hearings are preferred.

c. The Chair shall preside at the hearing and shall rule on all procedural matters. The Board may accept any evidence that reasonably prudent people would consider in making important decisions in their lives. Irrelevant or repetitious evidence may be excluded.

d. The accused may be represented by an attorney or other advisor.

e. The Dean’s designee and the accused may make a brief opening statement at the beginning of the hearing.

f. After any opening statements, the Dean’s designee shall present the evidence which supports the charges. The Dean’s designee shall bear the burden of showing by clear and convincing evidence that a violation of the Honor Code has been committed. The accused may cross-examine any witnesses presented by the Dean’s designee. Following presentation of evidence by the Dean’s designee, the accused may present evidence. The Dean’s designee may cross-examine any witnesses offered by the accused. With the permission of the Chair, rebuttal witnesses may be called and the Chair may alter the order of the presentation of evidence.

g. Board members may question any witness.

h. At the conclusion of the presentation of the evidence, the Dean’s designee and the accused may make a closing statement.

6. Post-Hearing Procedures

a. At the conclusion of the Hearing, the Board shall meet and decide whether a violation of the Honor Code has occurred. If the Board determines that such a violation occurred, it shall recommend an appropriate sanction. The Board shall make a written report explaining the basis for its determination and any recommended sanction. Any member of the Board who dissents either from the determination of the existence or non-existence of a violation or on the appropriateness of any sanction will reduce the dissent to writing. The decision, and any dissent, shall be signed by the members of the Board and shall comprise the Report of the Board. A copy of the Report shall be given to the accused, via email to the last known email address, within two days of its issuance. If the accused wishes to respond to the Report the accused shall do so in writing, via email to the last known email address, within fourteen days of the issuance of the Report. The response
must be signed and submitted to the Chair of the Board.
b. The Chair of the Board shall give the Dean of the College the Report of the Board and of any response to the Report by the accused. After the Dean has reviewed the Report and any response to the Report made by the accused, the Dean may communicate with members of the Board and the Dean’s designee. The Dean shall allow the accused an opportunity to communicate with the Dean. The Dean shall make the final decision in any case brought before the Board.
c. The Dean shall inform the student in writing, via email to the last known email address, of the final determination of the Honor Code violation and any sanction.
d. A copy of the Dean’s written communication to the student shall be placed in the student’s permanent file at the College of Law.
e. The Dean shall inform the student body, the faculty, and the staff in writing of the nature of the alleged violation and his/her final decision in the case, including the sanction if one is imposed.
f. When the sanction imposed is suspension or expulsion from the College, the student who is subject to expulsion or suspension may appeal the Dean’s decision to the University Hearing Board pursuant to the University Student Code of Conduct.

XVIII. UNIVERSITY RULES

Law students are also subject to all university rules. Please see Student Rights and Responsibilities [https://eoss.asu.edu/dos/srr](https://eoss.asu.edu/dos/srr) for the Student Code of Conduct and other rules that govern student life within the ASU campus.